

























Function `encap_oa` could probably be derived from the traffic selectors [rfc4306]. In the net link kernel Implementation `pluto` does the same as we do here but it uses `encap_oa` in the `pfkey` implementation. BUT as `/usr/src/linux/net/key/af_key.c` indicates that the kernel ignores it anyway. Does that mean that NAT-Traversal encapsulation doesn't work in transport mode? No. The reason the kernel ignores NAT-OA is that it recomputed (or, rather, just ignores) the checksum. If packets pass the IPsec checks it marks them "checksum ok" so OA isn't needed.

## 8. Conclusion

NAT is a mechanism which brought momentary abandon to the problem of shortage of IPv4 addresses. Unfortunately, it also brought some problems which we solved as described. Security is an essence part of this protocol and therefore implementation procedure is used for NAT detection. After detection of NAT box, there are appropriate actions as described. Support of NAT traversal in IKEv2 implementation solved one of the important demands for IKEv2 implementations and made this implementation more general and therefore, more appropriate to use in the IPsec. We have also shows the integration of IPsec with NAT. So for proper securing and fully functionality of NAT traversal, it should be IP Security Protected. It contains the details about patches applied and code changes done by me in Linux kernel, `mip6` Daemon and `strongSwan` in different releases of DSMIPv6 to implement IKEv2. The implementation of this release was to demonstrate, Movement of MN from HL to IPv4 network and Movement of MN from IPv6 to IPv4 network and vice versa.

## 9. References

- [1]. H. Soliman, Ed., Elevate Technologies, November 3, 2008. Mobile IPv6 Support for Dual Stack Hosts and Routers draft-ietf-mext-nemo-v4traversal-06.txt.
- [2]. Vida, R. and L. Costa, Eds., "Multicast Listener Discovery VeMyon 2 (MLDv2) for IPv6", RFC 3810, June 2004.
- [3]. Perkins, C., RFC 3344, August 2002. "IP Mobility Support for IPv4".
- [4]. Johnson, D., Perkins, C., and J. Arkko, RFC 3775, June 2004. "Mobility Support in IPv6".
- [5]. H. Soliman, Ed., Elevate Technologies, November 3, 2009. Mobile IPv6 Support for Dual Stack Hosts and Routers draft-ietf-mext-nemo-v4traversal-08.txt.
- [6]. NEPL (NEMO Platform for Linux) how to, June 24th, 2009.
- [7]. MIPL (Mobile IPv6 for Linux), how to, 2004-4-20.
- [8]. Conta, A. and S. Deering, "Generic Packet Tunneling in IPv6 Specification", RFC 2473, December 1998.
- [9]. K.L.Bansal, Chaman Singh, "Dual Stack Implementation of Mobile IPv6 Software Architecture", IJCA- Volume 25, No 9, July 2011.
- [10]. Sebastien Decugis, Nautilus6," How To: Dynamic keying for Mobile IPv6 using `racoon2` and `mip6d`". September 2007.
- [11]. Yoshifuji Hideaki and al., In special section on internet technology IV, IEICE Trans Commun, Vol.E87-B, No3 March 2004. Linux IPv6 Stack Implementation based on Serialized Data State Processing.
- [12]. Arkko, J., Devarapalli, V. and F. Dupont, RFC 3776, June 2004. "Using IPsec to Protect Mobile IPv6 Signaling Between Mobile Nodes and Home Agents".
- [13]. F. Audet and C. Jennings. Network Address Translation (NAT) Behavioural Requirements for Unicast UDP. RFC 4787, Internet Engineering Task Force, January 2007.
- [14]. K.L.Bansal, Chaman Singh, "NAT Traversal and Detection on Dual Stack Implementation of Mobile IPv6", IJCA- Volume 29, No 7, September 2011.
- [15]. Egevang, K. and P. Francis, The IP Network Address Translator (NAT), RFC 1631, May 1994.
- [16]. Kent S, and K. Seo, Security Architecture for Internet Protocol, RFC 4301, December 2005.
- [17]. Kent, S., IP Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP), RFC 4303, December 2005.
- [18]. Kent, S., IP Authentication Header, RFC 4302, December 2005.
- [19]. C. Kaufman, Ed., Internet Key Exchange Key (IKEv2) Protocol, 2005. URL: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4306.txt>
- [20]. Holdrege, M. and P. Srisuresh, Protocol Complications with the IP Network Address Translator, RFC 3027, January 2001.
- [21]. Chaman Singh, S Kumar, S Kumar, K.L.Bansal," Design and Implementation of Mobile IPv6 Data Communication in Dual Networks", IJCSI - Volume 1, Issue 9, Page NO. 182-190, January 2012.